MR. R. DHANUKOTI PILLAI (*Conservator of Forests*): ഒഴിച്ചിട്ടിട്ടുണ്ട്.

MR. TARIATU KUNJITOMMAN (*Commerce and Industry, North*) ഒരിക്കലും ഇല്ല. റിസർവ് അല്ലാത്ത സ്ഥലങ്ങളെ കൂടി റിസർവ ആക്കാൻ ശ്രമിക്കയല്ലാതെ ഇങ്ങനെ ഒരിക്കലും ഒഴിച്ചിട്ടിട്ടില്ല.

DEPUTY PRESIDENT: Mr. E V. Krishna Pillai, do you press your motion?

MR. E. V. KRISHNA PILLAI (*Kottarakara cum Kunnattur*): Yes, Sir.

The motion was put to the vote and carried.

Motions 299 to 303.

The following motions were not moved :—

MR. K. KRISHNAN NAYAR :

299. To reduce the allotment of Rs. 8,39,096 by Re. 1.

MR. A. THANU PILLAI :

300. To reduce the total allotment of Rs. 8,39,096 by Re. 1.

MR. A. THANU PILLAI :

301. To reduce the total allotment of Rs. 8,39,096 by Re. 1.

MR. P. S. MAHOMED :

302. To reduce the Total allotment of Rs. 8,39,096 by Rs. 10.

MR. K. KUNJUKRISHNA PILLAI :

303. To reduce the total allotment of Rs. 8,39,096 by Rs. 100.

DEPUTY PRESIDENT: May I take it that the House allows Rs. 8,39,096 minus Re. 1 under Demand VII—Forest?

The grant was made.

DEMAND No. VIII—STAMPS.

MR. R. NILAKANTA PILLAI (*Financial Secretary to Government*): I rise to move for a grant not exceeding Rs. 93,412 under Demand VIII—Stamps.

Motion 304.

The following motion was not moved :

MR. P. S. MAHOMED :

To reduce the total allotment of Rs 93,412 under Demand VIII by Rs. 10.

DEPUTY PRESIDENT: May I take it that the House allows Rs. 93,412 under Demand VIII—Stamps?

The grant was made.

DEMAND IX—REGISTRATION.

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*): Sir, I rise to move for a grant not exceeding Rs. 3,10,738, under Demand IX—Registration.

Motion 305.

MR. N. K. KRISHNA PILLAI (*Neyyattinkara*): Sir, I beg to make the following motion :

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 17,246 for Direction by Rs. 10.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

[Mr. N. K. Krishna Pillai.]

One of my objects in tabling this motion is to draw the attention of the Government to the hard lot of a section of unfortunate young men who have passed the Registration Entrance Examination and who have acted as clerks and whose claims have been overlooked when vacancies arose. In this department, the number of vacancies is very small, when compared to the case of the acting hands in the other departments, especially in the Education Department. There, it will be seen that those who acted for a day have a chance of being put in the list and re-entertained in service. If that is the case with the Education Department and other departments, I ask why the case of the poor acting hands in the Registration Department should not be favourably considered.

There is another aspect of the matter which I should like to refer to under this motion though, strictly speaking, it comes only lower down. That is, to discuss the necessity for re-distribution of sub-registry offices.

DEPUTY PRESIDENT: You can speak about that when that motion comes.

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*): I did not clearly follow what Mr. N. K. Krishna Pillai said. What I understand is, that there are some clerks who had acted in the Registration Department and who have not been entertained, in the department when vacancies arose. I am not aware of that fact. I will call for a report from the Director of Registration about it. The rule says that acting hands should get preference to outsiders when new vacancies arise; but I am not in a position to say that that rule is being strictly followed in all departments. I shall get the information from the Director and do what is needed so far as Mr. Krishna Pillai's request is concerned.

I may mention another point in regard to this matter. In connection with the abolition of a few sub-registry offices, the department may be throwing out a few hands, and the absorption of those hands will be a matter of great difficulty. I assure the member that the grievances will be looked into by the department. If any specific cases are brought to my notice, they also will be enquired into.

MR. N. K. KRISHNA PILLAI (*Neyyattinkara*): My complaint is that those who were acting in the department for some time who have qualified themselves in the registration test, and who have also acted subsequently, were thrown out of employment, and when vacancies arose other men were entertained.

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*): That is a matter to be enquired into.

MR. N. K. KRISHNA PILLAI (*Neyyattinkara*): In view of the assurance given by the Chief Secretary, I beg to withdraw the motion.

The motion was, by leave, withdrawn.

Motion 306.

MR. N. JOHN JOSEPH (*Nominated*): അധഃകൃതരിൽപ്പെട്ട സാമ്പ
വർ, ചേരമർ തുടങ്ങിയുള്ളവർക്ക് രജിസ്ട്രേഷൻ ഡിപ്പാർട്ടുമെൻറിൽ വേ
ണ്ട ഉദ്യോഗം നൽകി അവരെ പ്രോത്സാഹിപ്പിക്കുന്നില്ലെന്ന ദുഃഖ

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

ൻറിനെ ഉൽബോധിപ്പിക്കുവാൻ വേണ്ടിയാണു ഞാൻ ഈ ഉപക്ഷേപം ഇവിടെ കൊണ്ടുവരുന്നതു്. ഈ ഡിപ്പാർട്ടുമെൻറിലെ ഉദ്യോഗത്തിനു ഒ-ാംക്ലാസുപരീക്ഷയും സ്ക്ലൂ-ഫയിനൽ പരീക്ഷയും പാസായാൽ മതിയാകുമെന്നാണു എൻെറ അഭിപ്രായം. അങ്ങനേയുള്ളവർ അധഃകൃതരിൽ ഉണ്ടായിട്ടും അവക്കു് ഉദ്യോഗം നൽകുന്നില്ല. അധഃകൃതക്കു എല്ലാഡിപ്പാർട്ടുമെൻറിലും പ്യൂൺഉദ്യോഗമെങ്കിലും നൽകണമെന്നു എല്ലാഡിപ്പാർട്ടുമെൻറു മേധാവികളോടും ആജ്ഞാപിക്കേണ്ട കടമ ഗവൺമെൻറിനുണ്ടു്. ക്ളാർക്കായിട്ടും കാപ്പിസ്റ്റായിട്ടും ഉദ്യോഗം വഹിക്കത്തക്കവണ്ണം യോഗ്യതയുള്ള ആളുകൾ അധഃകൃതരുടെ ഇടയിൽ ധാരാളമുണ്ടു്. എന്നാൽ ആക്ടിംഗ്കാക്കു പ്രിഫറൻസുകൊടുക്കണമെന്നു പറയുന്നുണ്ടു്. അതിനെ അവഗണിച്ചിട്ടെങ്കിലും ഈ ഡിപ്പാർട്ടുമെൻറിൽ ഒരു നല്ല പങ്കു് ഉദ്യോഗം അധഃകൃതക്കായി നീക്കിവയ്ക്കണമെന്നു ഞാൻ അപേക്ഷിച്ചുകൊള്ളുന്നു.

DEPUTY PRESIDENT: Mr. P. S. Mahomed, if you claim representation for Mahomedans, you can conveniently do that in a token motion which is coming lower down. Do you support the motion of Mr. Joseph with special reference to the points raised by him ?

MR. P. S. MAHOMED (*Nominated*): No. I do not propose to talk on that motion.

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*): Mr. John Joseph complains that the depressed classes are not shown adequate consideration in the Registration Department.

DEPUTY PRESIDENT: His point was that the claim of the depressed classes should be taken into consideration in preference to more represented communities.

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*): While speaking on his motion he made a complaint that members belonging to his community are not being given due representation. As a matter of fact, I have figures before me to show that they *are* being entertained as vacancies arise. Some preference *is* being shown to them. I find from the statement furnished to me by the Director, that 6 Clerks and 6 peons have been entertained from Pulayas, Pariahs, Kanians, Maravans and Thandans and from similar communities during the last three years. I have also a statement of appointments made in the department for the first three quarters of 1106. From that, it is seen, that members of the depressed class are being appointed. For instance, in the list of acting and initial appointments, for the first three quarters, three persons are seen to have been appointed. In the list of permanent appointments, there is one. Therefore, what I say is, some preference *is* shown to them. But the department has some difficulties in the way because of the fact, that the appointments are few and the claims of other communties have also to be considered. Nevertheless, preference *is* being shown to these people.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

MR. N. JOHN JOSEPH (*Nominated*). രജിസ്ട്രേഷൻ ഡിപ്പാർട്ടുമെൻറിൽ അധികൃതക്കു ഒന്നു രണ്ടു ഉദ്യോഗം നൽകിയിട്ടിട്ടുണ്ട്. അതിലേക്കു ഞാൻ ഗവണ്മെൻറിനെ അനുമോദിക്കുതന്നെ ചെയ്യുന്നു. എന്നാൽ മനസ്സുവച്ചാൽ ഇതിനെക്കാൾ കൂടുതൽ കൊടുക്കാൻ കഴിയുമായിരുന്നു. ഏതായാലും ഈ ഡിപ്പാർട്ടുമെൻറിൽ കൂടുതൽ പ്രോത്സാഹനം അവർക്കു നൽകേണ്ടതാണെന്നുള്ള അഭിപ്രായത്തോടുകൂടി ഞാൻ ഈ ഉപക്ഷേപം പിൻവലിക്കുന്നതിനു അനുവാദം ചോദിച്ചുകൊള്ളുന്നു.

DEPUTY PRESIDENT: Does the House permit the motion to be withdrawn?

The motion was, by leave, withdrawn.

Motion 307.

MR. N. K. KRISHNA PILLAI (*Neyyattinkara*): I move to reduce the allotment of Rs. 82,687 for Sub-Registrars (permanent) by Rs. 10.

I thought that, being a matter of some local interest, I could talk on with the first motion.

DEPUTY PRESIDENT: Never mind. It is perfectly legitimate that you must account for the stewardship of your electorate. Do not misunderstand me, please. You may go on.

MR. N. K. KRISHNA PILLAI (*Neyyattinkara*): My object is to draw attention to the necessity for redistribution of Sub-Registry Offices with special reference to Neyyattinkara. The Government have this year abolished three temporary offices; and for the next year, they propose to abolish 6 more temporary sub-registry offices. Sir, Government, in the matter of abolition, follow the policy of abolishing only temporary sub-registry offices. Now my suggestion is, that some temporary offices which are of recent growth are found to be more necessary than permanent offices. For instance in my own taluk there is a sub-registry office at Chenkal. That was established last year. But from the way in which that office had been faring, it will be found that it does not deserve to be abolished.

MR. K. P. MADHAVAN PILLAI (*Chirayinkil*): On what principle is the office abolished?

MR. N. K. KRISHNA PILLAI (*Neyyattinkara*): That is what I am saying. The principle is to abolish temporary offices.

It appears to me, with regard to the Chenkal Office, that was the principle followed. It will be seen that the number of documents registered in that office from year to year was very large. In Kollengode Office there is a heavy fall in the number of documents registered. And that is a permanent office; and because it is a permanent one it is being retained though the number of documents registered from year to year is on the decrease. On the other hand, in the sub-registry office at Chenkal, the documents registered were on the increase. So, it will be seen that an office which was comparatively flourishing was abolished. From the present condition of the Chenkal Office, there

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

was no necessity for abolishing it. If the Government thinks that they cannot retain two offices at Neyyattinkara they should bring the Kollengode office to the place where the Office of Chenkal was held. In this way, some redistribution is possible to suit the local needs of the people. It is simply to draw the attention of the necessity for redistribution of offices, that I have made this motion.

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*): Sir, a notification has already appeared in yesterday's gazette abolishing the sub-registry office at Chenkal. What Mr. N. K. Krishna Pillai says is a fact, namely that Kollengode sub-registry office had only a less number of documents to be registered, in the first 8 months of the year than the Chenkal office. The latter office was abolished because it was a new office and the former office was allowed to stand. The Government have no objection in view of what the member has suggested, to re-examine the question and to make re-adjustments that are suitable and convenient to the people of the locality.

MR. A. S. DAMODARAN ASAN (*Mavelikara* cum *Kartikapalli*): Are permanent offices, where less than 3,000 documents are registered, allowed to continue?

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*): No.

MR. A. S. DAMODARAN ASAN (*Mavelikara* cum *Kartikapalli*): In the case of permanent offices where less than 3,000 documents were registered during last year it is seen that they were allowed to continue.

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*): I do not hear the member.

MR. A. S. DAMODARAN ASAN (*Mavelikara* cum *Kartikapalli*): My question is, whether in the case of permanent offices where there are only less than 3,000 documents registered, the Government allows the continuance of such offices.

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*): There is no such office.

MR. N. K. KRISHNA PILLAI (*Neyyattinkara*): May I know the number of documents registered in the Chenkal office, please?

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*): The office was in existence for only a few months in 1105. During this period the number of documents registered was 2,725. Then, in the first 8 months of 1106, the number of documents was 2,679, in Kollengode it was 1,770, and in Amaravila it was 2,044. That shows the state of affairs. But the Government abolished the Chenkal office as it was set up only recently. We have no objection to consider and rectify whatever defect there is.

MR. K. P. ABRAHAM (*Muvattupuzha* cum *Todupuzha*): May I know the names of other offices that are proposed to be abolished?

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*): That has been notified in the Gazette. They are Ranni, Cheppad, Chenkal, Kollakadavu, Veliam.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

MR. K. KRISHNAN NAYAR (*Pattanamthitta*) : In supporting the motion of Mr. N. K. Krishna Pillai I wish to say a few words about the redistribution of Sub-registry offices with special reference to my constituency, namely, Pattanamthitta.

DEPUTY PRESIDENT : Can you not bring it under the token motion that is coming lower down?

MR. K. KRISHNAN NAYAR (*Pattanamthitta*) : Yes.

MR. N. K. KRISHNA PILLAI (*Neyyattinkara*) : In view of the assurance of the Chief Secretary that the question will be considered, I beg to withdraw the motion.

DEPUTY PRESIDENT : Does the House permit the motion to be withdrawn?

The motion was, by leave, withdrawn.

Motions 308 and 309.

The following motions were not moved.

MR. TARIATU KUNJITOMMAN :

308 To reduce the allotment of Rs. 17,036 for contingencies by Re. 1.

MR. K. PADMANABHA PANIKKAR:

309. To reduce the allotment of Rs. 300 for Travelling Allowance by Re. 1.

Motion 310.

MR. P. S. MAHOMED (*Nominated*) : രജിസ്ട്രേഷൻ ഡിപ്പാർട്ടുമെൻറിനു ആകെ അനുവദിച്ചിട്ടുള്ള തു, 1 0 , 0 0 രൂപായിൽ നിന്നും ഒരു രൂപാ കുറയ്ക്കണമെന്നുള്ള എന്റെ ഉപക്ഷേപത്തെ ഞാൻ ഇവിടെ അവതരിപ്പിച്ചുകൊള്ളുന്നു. ഞാൻ ഈ ഉപക്ഷേപം ഇവിടെ ഹാജരാക്കിയിട്ടുള്ളതു പൊതുവെ സമുദായപ്രാതിനിധ്യത്തെ സ്വീകരിക്കുന്നതിനുവേണ്ടിയൊ, അല്ലെങ്കിൽ പൊതുവായ ഒരു നയത്തെ സ്ഥാപിക്കുന്നതിനുവേണ്ടിയൊ അല്ല. ഇതിലേക്കായി ഒരു കമ്മിറ്റി രൂപീകരിച്ചു വേണ്ട പദ്ധതികൾ ഉണ്ടാക്കാമെന്നു ഇന്നലെ ദിവാൻ പ്രസിഡൻറുതന്നെ പറയുകയുണ്ടായി.

MR. TARIATU KUNJITOMMAN (*Commerce and Industry, North*): കമ്മിറ്റിയുടെ തീരുമാനത്തിനുമുമ്പുള്ള നിയമനം ശരിയായി നടക്കണമെന്നില്ലേ?

MR. P. S. MAHOMED (*Nominated*): ഇന്നു നടത്തികൊണ്ടുവരുന്ന രീതി തീരെ തൃപ്തികരമല്ലെന്നും, ഗവണ്മെൻറു പല സന്ദർഭങ്ങളിലും മറ്റു ഡിപ്പാർട്ടുമെൻറു മേധാവികളെ അറിയിക്കുന്നപ്രകാരം രജിസ്ട്രേഷൻ ഡയറക്ടർ ന്യായമായി പ്രവൃത്തിച്ചിട്ടില്ലെന്നും കാണിക്കുന്നതിനാണു ഞാൻ ഇവിടെ ശ്രമിക്കുന്നതു്.

ഏകദേശം വ 0 ൽ ക്കുട്ടത്തിൽ സബ്രജിസ്ട്രാർമാരുണ്ടു്. (ഇപ്പോൾ കുറെ ആഫീസുകൾ കുറയ്ക്കുന്നുണ്ടു്; എന്നാൽതന്നെയും സബ് രജിസ്ട്രാർമാരുടെ സംഖ്യ ഇത്രയുംവരും). ഏസ്റ്റാബ്ലിഷ്മെൻറിൽ

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

ക്ലാക്കുമുതൽ പൂണ്വരെ ഏകദേശം ൨൦൦-ൽ കൂടുതൽ ആളുകളുണ്ട്. ഇതിൽ അസമത്വസമുദായത്തിൽപെട്ടവരുടെ സംഖ്യ വളരെ തുശ്ചമായിട്ടേയൊള്ളു. ഒരുപക്ഷെ ഇതു പല കാലമായി അസമത്വസമുദായക്കാരിൽ വിദ്യാഭ്യാസയോഗ്യതയുള്ളവരില്ലാതിരുന്നതിന്റെ ഫലവും മുമ്പു നിയമനവിഷയത്തിൽ അനുവർത്തിച്ചുവന്നിരുന്നനയത്തിന്റെഫലവുമായിരിക്കാം. മുസ്ലിമീങ്ങൾ, ഈഴവർ, കത്തോലിക്കർ എന്നിങ്ങനെയുള്ള സമുദായക്കാരെ സംബന്ധിച്ചു്, ഗവണ്മെൻറിൽനിന്നു വളരെ മുമ്പുതന്നെ പുറപ്പെടുവിച്ചിട്ടുള്ള ഉത്തരവനുസരിച്ചു പ്രവൃത്തിച്ചിരുന്നാൽ ഇതുപോലെ വലിയ അസമത്വം ഉണ്ടാവുകയില്ലായിരുന്നു എന്നാണു് എന്റെ വിശ്വാസം. ൟ ൦ ൫-ലെ നിയമനത്തെസംബന്ധിച്ചു എനിക്കു കിട്ടിയമറുപടിയിൽ ൟ ൟ പെർമനൻറു നിയമനവും ൟ ൟ ടെമ്പററി നിയമനവും നടത്തിയതിൽ ടെമ്പററിയായിട്ടുമാത്രം ഒരു മഹമ്മദീയനെ നിയമിച്ചിട്ടുണ്ടെന്നാണു കാണുന്നതു്.

MR. TARIATU KUNJITOMMAN (*Commerce and Industry, North*) ഈ ൨൨ നിയമനങ്ങൾ നടത്തിയതിൽ ബ്രാഹ്മണർ എത്രയുണ്ടു്?

MR. P. S. MAHOMED (*Nominated*): ഞാൻ അതിന്റെ കണക്കു ചോദിച്ചിട്ടില്ലെന്നു മാത്രമല്ല ബാക്കിയുള്ളവർക്കു എത്രകൊടുക്കുന്നു എന്നുള്ള സംഗതിയിൽ എനിക്കു താല്പര്യവുമില്ല.

MR. A. THANU PILLAI (*Trivandrum*): ൨൨ പേരെ നിയമിച്ചു എന്നു പറഞ്ഞുവെല്ലൊ, അതിൽ എത്ര മഹമ്മദീയരെ നിയമിക്കേണ്ടതായിരുന്നു?

MR. P. S. MAHOMED (*Nominated*): ഇന്നത്തെ നിലയനുസരിച്ചു നോക്കുന്നപക്ഷം ഇതിൽ രണ്ടു മഹമ്മദീയരെ നിയമിക്കേണ്ടതായിരുന്നു.

MR. A. THANU PILLAI (*Trivandrum*): അതു ഏതു കണക്കനുസരിച്ചാണു്?

MR. P. S. MAHOMED (*Nominated*): ഏതു കണക്കനുസരിച്ചാണു എന്നു മെമ്പർതന്നെ കുറെ പ്രശാന്തമായിട്ടിരുന്നു ആലോചിച്ചു നോക്കിയാൽ അറിയാം. ഇതിനുമുമ്പു ഈ സഭയിൽ ഏതു കണക്കനുസരിച്ചു ഉദ്യോഗദാനം നടത്തണമെന്നുപറഞ്ഞുവോ അതു കണക്കനുസരിച്ചാണു് മഹമ്മദീയക്കു ഇതിൽ രണ്ടു പ്ലേസിനു അവകാശമുണ്ടെന്നു ഞാൻ പറഞ്ഞതു്.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

MR. R. S. SUBRAHMANYA PILLAI (*Kalkulam cum Viluvankod*) : ജനസംഖ്യയുടെ തോതനുസരിച്ചാണെന്നു വിശ്വസിക്കാമോ?

MR. P. S. MAHOMED (*Nominated*): ആ തോതനുസരിച്ചാണ് ഞാൻ പറഞ്ഞതു്. അല്ലാതെ സ്പെഷ്യലായിട്ടു മഹമ്മദീയർക്കു എന്തെങ്കിലും ചെയ്യുന്നതരണമെന്നു ഞാൻ പറയുന്നില്ല.

ഈ ഡിപ്പാർട്ടുമെൻറിൽ നേരിട്ടു ആക്ടിംഗ് ഒഴിവുകളുള്ളതിൽ ഏറെ മഹമ്മദീയരേയാണു നിയമിച്ചിട്ടുള്ളതു്. ഇതും ഗവണ്മെൻറു പ്രഖ്യാപനം ചെയ്തിട്ടുള്ള നയത്തിനു വിപരീതമാണു്. പ്രിഫറൻസു കൊടുക്കണമെന്നു പറയുന്നതു് പ്രാതിനിധ്യം വളരെ കുറവായിട്ടുള്ളവർക്കു ന്യായമായി കിട്ടേണ്ടഅവകാശത്തിനും പുറമെ കുറെ കൂടുതൽക്കൂടികൊടുക്കണമെന്നുള്ളതാണല്ലൊ. എന്നാൽ അവർക്കു കിട്ടേണ്ട സംഖ്യപോലും കിട്ടാതെ പഴി തിക്കുന്നതിനുവേണ്ടിമാത്രം അര ശതമാനം ഉദ്യോഗം കൊടുത്തുവരുന്ന നയം ആക്ഷേപാർഹമാണു്. മറുള്ളവർക്കു ശതമാനവും മറും കൊടുക്കുമ്പോൾ മുസ്ലിമീങ്ങളുടെ കായ്ത്തിൽ മാത്രം അര ശതമാനം എന്നു പറയുന്നതിൻെറ അർത്ഥം എന്താണെന്നും മനസ്സിലാകുന്നില്ല. ഈ സംഗതി ഗവണ്മെൻറിൻെറ ശ്രദ്ധയിൽ പ്രത്യേകം പതിഞ്ഞിരിക്കണം. എന്നാൽ ഞാൻ മുമ്പുപറഞ്ഞതുപോലെ ഏറെ മഹമ്മദീയരെ നിയമിച്ചിട്ടുള്ളതുതന്നെ ഷാർട്ടുവേക്കൻസിയിലാണു്. മഹമ്മദീയനെ നിയമിച്ചിരിക്കുന്ന ഒഴിവു് ഏട്ടോ പത്തൊ പതിനഞ്ചോ ദിവസത്തേയ്ക്കു മാത്രമായിരിക്കും. മറുള്ളവർക്കു കൊടുത്തിരിക്കുന്ന വേക്കൻസി ആറോ എട്ടൊ മാസത്തേയ്ക്കുള്ളതായിരിക്കും. ഇങ്ങനെയുള്ള ഒരു ചിറമ്മപെരുമാറ്റം മഹമ്മദീയരുടെ നേരെകാണിക്കുന്നതു ശരിയല്ല എന്നുമാത്രം ഞാൻ പ്രസ്താവിച്ചുകൊള്ളുന്നു.

MR. TARIATU KUNJITOMMAN (*Commerce and Industry, North*) : സർ, ഞാൻ ഈ ഉപക്ഷേപത്തെ പിൻതാങ്ങുന്നു. ഉദ്യോഗനിയമന വിഷയത്തെസംബന്ധിച്ചു അടിക്കടി ഈ കൌൺസിലിൻെറ ആരംഭം മുതൽ വിമർശിക്കേണ്ടിവരുന്നതു ഈ കൌൺസിലിനും ഗവണ്മെൻറിനും ഒരുപോലെ ലജ്ജാകരമായ ഒരു സംഗതിയാണു്. (Mr. E. V. Krishna Pillai Hear, hear) ഉദ്യോഗനിയമനം ഇന്നു പക്ഷപാതപരമായിട്ടാണു നടത്തിവരുന്നതു്. അങ്ങിനെയുള്ള പക്ഷപാതപരമായനിയമന സംപ്രദായത്തെ തുടർന്നു കൊണ്ടുപോകുമ്പോൾ നീതിക്കു വേണ്ടി ഈ കൌൺസിലിൽ അതെടുത്തു പറയാതിരിക്കുന്നതു അധികം ലജ്ജാവഹമായ ഒരവസ്ഥയായിരിക്കും. പൊതുജനങ്ങളുടെ പണം ശമ്പളമായി വാങ്ങി

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

പക്ഷപാതം കാണിക്കുന്നതു് എത്രയോ ആക്ഷേപകരവും ലജ്ജാകരവു മാണു്. ലജ്ജാകരമായ ഈ പ്രവൃത്തി നിർത്തുമ്പോഴല്ലാതെ അതിനെ ആവർത്തിച്ചാക്ഷേപിക്കുന്ന സംപ്രദായം നിർത്തുവാൻ പാടുള്ളതല്ല.

രജിസ്ട്രേഷൻ ഡിപ്പാർട്ടുമെൻറിലെ നിയമനപട്ടിക വായിച്ചു മുസ്ലിമീങ്ങൾക്കു രജിസ്ട്രാരന്മാരുടെയിടയിൽ പ്രാതിനിധ്യം നൽകുന്നില്ലെന്നു മി. മഹമ്മദ് തെളിയിച്ചു. എന്നാൽ താഴെയുള്ള നിയമനങ്ങളിൽ ഡിസ്ട്രിക്ട് രജിസ്ട്രാർമാർ നടത്തിയിട്ടുള്ള അക്രമമാണു ഇതിനെക്കാൾ വലുതു്. ൧൦൫-ലും ൧൦൪-ലും ൧൦൩ തുലാവരെയും നടത്തിയിട്ടുള്ള നിയമനങ്ങളുടെ പട്ടിക കൌൺസിൽ നടപടിവാല്യം ൧൭ പേജ് ൯൪൫-ൽ കാണാം. അതുകൊണ്ടു നിയമനങ്ങളോളം നടത്തിയിട്ടുള്ളതിൽ മലയാളബ്രാഹ്മണർ ൪-ം മറുബ്രാഹ്മണർ ൪൯-൦ ഈഴവർ ൨൧-൦ കമ്മാളർ ൧൦-൦ ക്ഷത്രിയർ ൩൯-൦ നാടാർ ൪-൦ നായന്മാർ ൨൩൧-൦ വെള്ളാളർ ൧൩൯-൦ പുലയരൊഴിച്ചുള്ള മറു അധഃകൃതവർഗ്ഗത്തിൽനിന്നും ൧൩-ം മറു ഹിന്ദുക്കൾ ൪൪-ം മുഹമ്മദീയർ ൧൩-ം പ്രൊട്ടസ്റ്റൻറു ൧൩-ം റോമൻകത്തോലിക്ക് ലാറിൻറൈറു് ൨൧൦സിറിയൻ റൈറു് ൨൦-ം ജാക്കബയിറു് ൨൯-ം മാർത്തോമ ൨൪ ം ആണെന്നുകാണുന്നു.

MR. K. KUNJUKRISHNA PILLAI (*Quilon*) ലാറിൻ റൈറ് കത്തോലിക്കരേയും സിറിയൻറൈ റ് കത്തോലിക്കരേയും ഇതിൽ പ്രത്യേകം പറഞ്ഞിട്ടുണ്ടോ?

MR. TARIATU KUNJITOMMAN (*Commerce and Industry North*) ഇതിൽ പ്രത്യേകംപറഞ്ഞിട്ടുണ്ടു്. ഇപ്പോഴത്തെ സെൻസസ് പ്രകാരം എട്ടരലക്ഷം വരുന്നതായ —അതായതു പരദേശബ്രാഹ്മണരുടെ ൧൩൯ ഇരട്ടിജനസംഖ്യ വരുന്നതായ —കത്തോലിക്കാക്രിസ്ത്യാനികൾക്കു ൪൧-ം അത്രയും ജനസംഖ്യയുള്ള നായന്മാക്കു ൨൩൧-൦ കഷ്ടിച്ചു അര ലക്ഷത്തോളം വരുന്ന പരദേശബ്രാഹ്മണർക്കു ൪൯-൦ ഉദ്യോഗമാണു് കൊടുത്തിട്ടുള്ളതെന്നു പട്ടികയിൽ നിന്നു മനസ്സിലാകുന്നു. ഇവയ്ക്കു തമ്മിൽ എന്താണു് സാമ്യം? ഓരോ ചോദ്യത്തിനും തരുന്ന പട്ടികകൾ സാധാരണ ഇങ്ങിനെയാണു്. ഇതിൽ നിന്നു ഉദ്യോഗസ്ഥന്മാക്കു പക്ഷപാതം എന്ന ആക്ഷേപത്തിൽ നിന്നു ഒഴിയുവാൻ എങ്ങിനെകഴിയും ഉദ്യോഗനിയമനത്തിൽ പക്ഷപാതം കാണിക്കുന്നവർ നിശ്ചയമായും മറു ഉദ്യോഗകൃത്യങ്ങളിലും പക്ഷപാതം കാണിക്കുന്നവരായിരിക്കുമെന്നു വിചാരിക്കണം. അതിവെന്തോ എന്നു

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

[Mr. Tariatu kunjitomman,]

നോക്കുവാൻ ഒരു വറു ഞെക്കിനോക്കിയാൽ മതിയെല്ലോ. എല്ലാം ഞെക്കി നോക്കേണ്ട ആവശ്യമില്ല. ഈമാതിരി അനീതി വേഗത്തിൽ നിറുത്തണം. കമ്മിറ്റിയും മറുമുണ്ടായി അതിന്റെ റിപ്പോർട്ടുവന്ന ഗവണ്മെൻറു തീരുമാനം ഉണ്ടാക്കി ഇതു പരിഹരിച്ചുകൊള്ളാമെന്നു വിചാരിച്ചാൽ അതു അടുത്തകാലത്തൊന്നും സാധിക്കയില്ല. കഴിഞ്ഞകമ്മിറ്റികളിൽനിന്നുള്ള അനുഭവം അതാണ്. ഒരുകാര്യം ജനങ്ങളാവത്തിച്ചാവത്തിച്ചു പറയുന്നതുകൊണ്ടു ഉപദ്രവം ഒഴിക്കാനായി ഒരു കമ്മിറ്റി ഏർപ്പെടുത്തും. കമ്മിറ്റിയുടെ റിപ്പോർട്ടുവന്നാൽ അതു ചവറ്റുകുട്ടയിൽ തള്ളുന്ന കടലാസുപോലെയാണു ഗണിക്കുന്നതു്. ചവറുകുട്ടയിലിടുന്ന കടലാസു് ചിലപ്പോൾ ആവശ്യംവന്നാൽ എടുത്തുനോക്കി എന്നുവരാം. അങ്ങിനെയെ കമ്മിറ്റിറിപ്പോർട്ടും നോക്കുന്നുള്ളു എന്നു പറയണം.

ഈ വിധത്തിലാണു ഓരോ ഡിപ്പാർട്ടുമെണ്ടിലേയും നിയമനമെങ്കിൽ അതു് എത്രഭയങ്കരം. എക്സൈസ് ഡിപ്പാർട്ടുമെണ്ടിലെ നിയമനപ്പട്ടികവായിക്കുവാൻ ഇന്നലെ അവസരം കിട്ടിയില്ല. റവന്യൂ ഡിപ്പാർട്ടുമെണ്ടിലും അനീതി നടക്കുന്നുണ്ടു എന്നു മുമ്പു പറഞ്ഞപ്പോൾ എന്റെ സ്നേഹിതൻ മിസ്റ്റർ. ഇ. വി. കൃഷ്ണപിള്ള ,അന്യായം എന്നു പറയുന്നതല്ലാതെ അതിന്റെ കണക്കുകളൊന്നും പറഞ്ഞില്ലെല്ലോ, എന്നു പറയുകയുണ്ടായി. ഇപ്പോൾ എന്റെ കയ്യിൽ കണക്കുകളെല്ലാം ഉണ്ടു്. വേണമെങ്കിൽ ബോദ്ധ്യപ്പെടുത്താം. റവന്യൂ ഫിജ്ജർ, കോവാപ്പ റേറീവ് എന്നുവേണ്ട സകലഡിപ്പാർട്ടുമെൻറിലും നിയമനം ഇങ്ങനെയാണു നടക്കുന്നതു്. അതിനാൽ ഗവണ്മെൻറു നീതിയേയും ജനക്ഷേമത്തേയും പരിപാലിക്കുന്നതിനുവേണ്ടി ഈ അന്യായം ഉടനടി നിത്തണം.

MR. A. THANU PILLAI (*Trivandrum*): ഈ നിയമനം നടത്തിയിട്ടുള്ള ഡിസ്ട്രിക്ട് രജിസ്ട്രാർമാർ ഇന്ന ജാതിക്കാരാണെന്നുകൂടി പറയാമോ?

MR. TARIATU KUNJITOMMAN (*Commerce and Industry, North*): അസമത്വം അനുഭവിക്കാത്ത ജാതിക്കാർതന്നെയായിരുന്നു.

MR. A. THANU PILLAI (*Trivandrum*) : ജാതിതിരിച്ചുള്ള പക്ഷപാതമാണോ അവർ കാണിച്ചതെന്നു മനസ്സിലാക്കാൻവേണ്ടിമാത്രംഅവർ ഏതു ജാതിക്കാരാണെന്നു അറിഞ്ഞാൽ കൊള്ളാം.

MR. TARIATU KUNJITOMMAN (*Commerce and Industry, North*) : അതു ബ്രാഹ്മണരും നായന്മാരുമാണു്. പക്ഷപാതപരമായ നിയമനമാണെന്നു രേഖകൊണ്ടുതന്നെ തെളിയുന്നു. അനുമാനത്തെയും അനുഭവത്തെയുംകാൾ പ്രത്യക്ഷമാണല്ലോ പ്രധാന പ്രമാണം.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

MR. A. S. DAMODARAN ASAN (*Mavelikara* cum *Kartikapalli*) : Sir, I rise to support the motion now before the House.

With regard to the points raised by Messrs. Mahomed and Kunjitomman, all that I wish to state is that the Government should, at an early date, appoint the committee that they have promised so that the problem could be solved and the time of the Council saved and there would be union among the various communities in the State.

The main point that I wish to urge under this motion is the appointment of a full-time Registrar of Joint Stock Companies. It is now left in the charge of an officer who is supposed to have sufficient work in the development of his own Department. Sir, I thought Mr. Padmanabha Panikkar would make his motion; because it is a matter which vitally affects the people of this country.

From the Administration Report, we find that there are 372 joint stock companies, with an aggregate capital of more than eight crores of rupees, working in this country. There are also about 75 companies, registered in foreign countries, which are also working here. Is there any efficient authority to check the working of these companies? Are not these hundreds of companies handling the money collected from the poor people of this country? Many of the poor people in Travancore, who do not know anything about the working of joint stock companies, have been persuaded to invest their small savings in these joint stock companies. Nobody knows whether the funds of these companies are misappropriated or are being properly utilised. It is therefore highly necessary that a full-time.. .. ..

MR. R. VAIDYALINGOM PILLAI (*Registrar of Co operative Societies, of Joint Stock Companies and Protector of Depressed Classes*) : May I ask the member what is the sort of checking that, the Registrar of Joint Stock Companies is in a position to exercise?

MR. A. S. DAMODARAN ASAN (*Mavelikara* cum *Kartikapalli*) : It is for the member to inform the House how many companies he has inspected since he was appointed the Registrar of Joint Stock Companies.

MR. K GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*) : Mr. Vaidyalingom Pillai's question is whether, under the Statute, the Registrar of Joint Stock Companies is empowered to check the working of the joint stock companies here.

MR. A. S. DAMODARAN ASAN (*Mavelikara* cum *Kartikapalli*) : The Statute must be modified, if necessary. My complaint is not against any particular officer. At present, there is no sufficient checking of the working of the joint stock companies. That is why I suggest that the law should be suitably modified, if necessary, and a full-time Registrar of Joint Stock Companies should be appointed to do the work properly. I shall refer the present Registrar of Joint Stock Companies, in this connection, to his own report which he submitted as the President of the Banking Enquiry Committee. He has himself made a recommendation there for the appointment of a full-time Registrar

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

[MR. A. S. DAMODARAN ASAN.]

of Joint Stock Companies. If he would care to understand me aright he would find that I am now attempting only to strengthen his case. At para 268 of the Report of the Banking Enquiry Committee, he says

> "Equally important with the audit is the administration of the Department. As it is, the administration is vested in the Registrar of Joint Stock Companies, a part-time office held at present by the Director of Registration."

and at present by the Registrar of Co-operative Societies.

> "For some time, the office was held by the Head Sirkar Vakil or his Assistant. In the absence of proper organisation, institutions have grown haphazardly, and this state of affairs will continue so long as the department is administered as it is. We therefore recommend that the department be separated and placed under an officer with a high degree of proficiency in law, banking and commerce."

Sir, I would not have raised this point if the Government had acted upon the recommendation of the Banking Enquiry Committee, or at least done something in that direction. So, my submission is that this matter, of the appointment of a full-time Registrar of Joint Stock Companies with the necessary powers to check the working of the joint stock companies in the country, must engage the serious attention of the Government. The directors of these companies who are supposed to be very clever in handling.....

DEPUTY PRESIDENT: Why do you say '*supposed to be*'; they are really clever.

MR. A. S. DAMODARAN ASAN (*Mavelikara* cum *Kartikapalli*): I said "*supposed to be*" because I do not know in what they are very clever.

DEPUTY PRESIDENT: In very easily pursuading the people of the country to part with their money.

MR. A. S. DAMODARAN ASAN (*Mavelikara* cum *Kartikapalli*): I want to bring to the notice of this House, the necessity for the appointment of a full-time Registrar of Joint Stock Companies. With these words I support the motion.

MR. K. PADMANABHA PANIKKAR (*Ambalapuzha*): Sir, it was my intention to make a motion in order to point out to the Government the need for the appointment of a full-time Registrar of Joint Stock Companies. But, I did not do it because, at this time of serious economic depression, I did not think it justifiable to make any proposal which would increase the expenditure of Government money. That was why I did not make the motion. My idea is to bring this before the House at a future date when conditions are better.

MR. A. S. DAMODARAN ASAN (*Mavelikara* cum *Kartikapalli*): Does the member realise that, by that time, almost all the companies in the State would crumble to pieces?

MR. K. PADMANABHA PANIKKAR (*Ambalapuzha*): In these days, Sir, we do not find new companies coming into existence. It was only some two or three years ago that there was such a boom in the country. It has now ceased. Therefore, the matter may be considered at a future date.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

MR. K. KUNJUKRISHNA PILLAI (*Quilon*): Sir, I wish to take this opportunity of protesting against this policy of appointing the Registrar of Co-operative Societies as the Registrar of Joint Stock Companies also. I think the principles underlying the co-operative movement are diametrically opposed to those underlying the organisation of joint stock Companies. There is therefore no morality in appointing the same man to hold the two posts which have two entirely different ideals. Now that that has been done I think that the work of the organisation of the co-operative societies as well as that of the Registrar of Joint Stock Companies would both suffer.

MR. R. VAIDYALINGOM PILLAI (*Registrar of Co-operative Societies of Joint Stock Companies, and Protector of Depressed Classes*): Will the member please explain how the co-operative movement is opposed to the organisation of joint stock companies?

MR. K. KUNJUKRISHNA PILLAI (*Quilon*): The Co-operative Department requires a man who is mad after co-operative ideals, if he is to do the work on real co-operative lines. From the Administration Report of the Co-operative Department I find that sufficient attention has not been bestowed, by the Registrar of Co-operative Societies, to the examination and auditing of the several societies in the State.

DEPUTY PRESIDENT: Mr. Kunjukrishna Pillai, you can reserve the details when we come to the motion which specifically relates to that.

MR. K. KUNJUKRISHNA PILLAI (*Quilon*): The Registrar of Co-operative Societies asked me to explain how there is a conflict between ıe work of the organiser of co-operative societies and that of the rganisers of joint stock companies. That is why I am referring to these details.

DEPUTY PRESIDENT: My suggestion is that, in a general way, you may say that he is not able even to do his work as Registrar of Co-ɔperative Societies satisfactorily.

MR. K. KUNJUKRISHNA PILLAI (*Quilon*): The Registrar of Co-operative Societies has stated in his report that he was not able to travel as he wished, and to supervise the societies as much as he would consider desirable, because of want of time. In these circumstances, how can ıe be saddled with the job of supervising the affairs of Joint Stock Companies also?

MR. R. VAIDYALINGOM PILLAI (*Registrar of Co-operative Societies, of Joint Stock Companies and Protector of Depressed Classes*): What I vant to know from my friend is how the work of the Registrar of Co-ɔperative Societies and that of the Regist ar of Joint Stock Companies ıre diametrically opposed to each other.

MR. K. KUNJUKRISHNA PILLAI (*Quilon*): Because the Co-operative Societies and the Joint Stock Companies stand for two different ideals.

MR. K. P. MADHAVAN PILLAI (*Chirayinkil*): Is the member aware hat the present Registrar of Co-operative Societies is also the Protector f the Depressed Classes?

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

MR. K. KUNJUKRISHNA PILLAI (*Quilon*): I think there is no harm in that. If my friend has understood the co-operative move among the peoplihe would realise that it is very desirable to spread it among the depressed classes and to organise as many societies as possible among them. It is therefore desirable that the Registrar of Co-operative Societies is also the Protector of the Depressed Classes. But making him also the Registrar of Joint Stock Companies is something like making the Excise Commissicner the Director of Puiblic Instruction as well.

MR. K. GOPALAKRISHNA PILLAI (*Parur*): Sir, I support this motion to bring to the notice of the House the pitiable condition, of the foremen and the subscribers of some of the chitties, on account of the present economic depression and the fall in prices. The subscribers find it extremely difficult to subscribe to the chitties, and consequently the foremen are not able to conduct them. In view of the present economic depression I would suggest that the chitties in the State might be suspended for sometime to be resumed after the depression is over.

DEPUTY PRESIDENT: Your suggestion is that there should be no more drawings till the depression is over?

MR. K. GOPALAKRISHNA PILLAI (*Parur*): Yes.

DEPUTY PRESIDENT: What about the liability, to pay, of the prized subscribers?

MR. K. GOPALAKRISHNA PILLAI (*Parur*): It should stand over till the depression is over. Such a measure would relieve the people a great deal, particularly in the areas affected by the economic depression. It is with a view to bring this to the notice of the House and of the Government that I support this motion.

DEPUTY PRESIDENT: You would recommend also some special legislation, if necessary?

MR. K. GOPALAKRISHNA PILLAI (*Parur*): Yes.

MR. RAMAVARMA RAJA (*Edavagai*): സർ, ഞാൻ മിസ്റ്റർ പി.എസ്. മഹമ്മദിന്റെ ഉപക്ഷേപത്തെ അനുകൂലിക്കുന്നു.

DEPUTY PRESIDENT: കേൾക്കുന്നില്ലാ എന്നുള്ള പരാതി പറയുവാനുള്ള സ്വാതന്ത്ര്യം എനിക്കുമുണ്ട്.

MR. RAMAVARMA RAJA (*Edavagai*): മറ്റു ചിലർ പറഞ്ഞതു കേൾക്കാതെ ഞാൻ പറയുന്നതു മാത്രം കേൾക്കണം എന്നുപറയുന്നതിലേ എനിക്കുസങ്കടമൊള്ളൂ.

സർ, മി: മഹമ്മദിന്റെ ഉപക്ഷേപത്തെ ഞാൻ അനുകൂലിക്കുന്നു. എനിക്കു ഇതിൽ പറയുവാനുള്ളതു് ജായിൻറ്റസ്റ്റാക്ക് കമ്പനിരജിസ്ട്രാർ ഉദ്യോഗവും കോവാപ്പറേ റീവ് രജിസ്റ്റാർ ഉദ്യോഗവും, ഡിപ്രസ്ഡ് ക്ലാസ്പ്രൊട്ടക്ടർ ഉദ്യോഗവും ഒരാളിൽതന്നെ സ്ഥിതിചെയ്യുന്നതി

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

ന്റെ അനാശാസ്യതയെപ്പറ്റിയാണ്. ഈ മൂന്ന ജോ. കരാളെ നിയമിക്കുന്നതിലും ഒരാൾ നടത്തിക്കൊണ്ടു വന്നിരു. മൂന്നപേരെ ചുമതലപ്പെടുത്തുന്നതിലും ഗവണ്മെൻറിനെ ഇച്ഛനയം എന്താണെന്നു മനസ്സിലാകുന്നില്ല.

MR. K. MADHAVAN PILLAI (*Trivandrum cum Neduvangad*): ഒരാൾ നടത്തിക്കൊണ്ടു വന്നിരുന്ന ജോലി മൂന്നപേരെ ഏൽപ്പിച്ചതെവിടെയാണ്?

MR. RAMAVARMA RAJA (*Edavagai*) : മെഡിക്കൽ ഡിപ്പാർട്ടുമെൻറിനെയാണ് ഞാൻ ഉദ്ദേശിച്ചത്.

DEPUTY PRESIDENT: Statute അനുസരിച്ച് Registrar of Joint Stock Companies ഒരാൾ വേണമെന്നാണുള്ളത്. അതിനാൽ മെഡിക്കൽ ബോർഡുമായിട്ട് ഇതിനു സ്വല്പം വ്യത്യാസമുണ്ട്.

MR. A. THANU PILLAI (*Trivandrum*): മെഡിക്കൽ ബോർഡിലെ മൂന്നു ഉദ്യോഗസ്ഥന്മാരും തുല്യശക്തിയുള്ളവരാണോ?

MR. RAMAVARMA RAJA (*Edavagai*): സ്ത്രീകളും പുരുഷന്മാരും ഉള്ളതുകൊണ്ട് ശക്തി കുറവും കൂടുതലായുമിരിക്കാനെ തരമൊള്ളു.

അതിനാൽ ഒരാൾ ഒഴിച്ചുകൊണ്ടു വന്നിരുന്ന ഒരു ഡിപ്പാർട്ടുമെൻറിനെ മൂന്നപേക്കായി വീതിച്ചുകൊടുക്കുകയും മൂന്നും നാലും വകുപ്പുകാര്യം ഒരാളിൻറെ ഭരണത്തിൽവയ്ക്കുകയും ചെയ്യുന്ന നയം ഒരിക്കലും ശരിയല്ല എന്നു മാത്രം പറഞ്ഞുകൊണ്ടു വിരമിച്ചുകൊള്ളുന്നു.

MRS. ANNA CHANDI (*Nominated*): Sir, I support the motion brought forward by Mr. Mahomed, and I do not think there is any necessity for me to proclaim why I support it.

DEPUTY PRESIDENT: To urge the rights of women, women's claims.

MRS. ANNA CHANDI (*Nominated*): Yes.

Sir, the claims of the depressed classes have been frequently represented by Mr. John Joseph, and those of the unrepresented communities have been represented with great vehemence by Mr. Kunjitomman and Mr. Mahomed. I think it would be unfair if the claims of women are left unheeded for want of representation.

My present difficulty in the matter, Sir, is that, in the lists, showing the number of appointments held by members of unrepresented communities, which have now been placed before the House, women have been excluded. I must submit that in the matter of appointments women should be considered as an unrepresented community I think I should have followed the policy adopted by Mr. Mahomed

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

MR. K. KUN' in that. If questions to ascertain the number of women appointed peoplihe department. If I had done that, I think my present task depres- have been easier.

ther With regard to the Registration Department, I have to say that snat is a department in which there is ample scope for the appointment of women with greater benefit to the people of the country. The argument, that was advanced against women in the case of the Police the Excise and the Military Departments which are now tabooed to women, cannot be advanced in the case of the Registration Department. There is one work in this Department which women can do, perhaps much better than men, I mean the copying of documents. It is wellknown that there are lots of documents to be copied in the various Registration Offices in the State. Women write a more neat and legible hand than men, and so, they can be better employed for writing copies of documents. It would be beneficial for the public also in a general way.

MR. A. S. DAMODARAN ASAN (*Mavelikara* cum *Kartikapall*): Should there be communal representation among the women chosen for appointments?

MRS. ANNA CHANDI (*Nominated*): That is a matter that has to be considered after the claims of women in general, as a community, are recognised by the Government.

It has been argued, Sir, that representation should be given according to the population. Judging from that standard also I think we have at least equal claims as those of men in the matter of appointments.

MR. K. KUNJUKRISHNA PILLAI (*Quilon*): Does the member realise that, if the due share of appointments, according to my friend, are meted out to qualified women in the country, the result would militate against the principle of communal representation suggested by Mr. Kunjitomman?

DEPUTY PREIDENT: The lady member is not concerned with that at present. She is just now pressing the claims of women generally.

MR. S. CHATTANATHA KARAYALAR (*Pattanapuram* cum *Shencotta*): Does not the term 'man' include woman also?

MRS. ANNA CHANDI (*Nominated*): No, not in the case of appointments. If the term had been understood in that way and acted upon by Government there would have been no necessity to raise the hue and cry here.

In regard to the question of the committee, which the Dewan promised in the last Assembly and which I hope would be constituted shortly, I submit that, in consideration of the number of women in the country and also of the phenominal educational advancement of the women in this State, the Government should consider the question of

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

ന്റെ അനാശാസ്യതയെപ്പറ്റിയാണ്. ഈ മൂന്ന ജോ. that is done, കരാളെ നിയമിക്കുന്നതിലും ഒരാൾ നടത്തിക്കൊണ്ടു വന്നിരു we would മൂന്നുപേരെ മുമതലപ്പെടുത്തുന്നതിലും ഗവണ്മെൻറിനെ would ember ച്ചനയം എന്താണെന്നു മനസ്സിലാകുന്നില്ല. tis-

MR. K. MADHAVAN PILLAI (*Trivandrum* cum *Neduvangad*): ഒരാൾ നടത്തിക്കൊണ്ടു വന്നിരുന്ന ജോലി മൂന്നുപേരെ ഏൽപ്പിച്ചതെവിടെയാണ്?

MR. RAMAVARMA RAJA (*Edavagai*) : മെഡിക്കൽ ഡിപ്പാർട്ടുമെൻറിനെയാണ് ഞാൻ ഉദ്ദേശിച്ചതു്.

DEPUTY PRESIDENT: Statute അനുസരിച്ച് Registrar of Joint Stock Companies ഒരാൾ വേണമെന്നാണുള്ളതു്. അതിനാൽ മെഡിക്കൽ ബോർഡുമായിട്ട ഇതിനു സ്വല്പം വ്യത്യാസമുണ്ട്.

MR. A. THANU PILLAI (*Trivaudrum*): മെഡിക്കൽ ബോർഡിലെ മൂന്നു ഉദ്യോഗസ്ഥന്മാരും തുല്യശക്തിയുള്ളവരാണോ?

MR. RAMAVARMA RAJA (*Edavagai*): സ്ത്രീകളും പുരുഷന്മാരും ഉള്ളതുകൊണ്ടു് ശക്തി കുറവും ക്രൂടുതലായുമിരിക്കാനെ തരമൊള്ളു.

അതിനാൽ ഒരാൾ ഭരിച്ചുകൊണ്ടു വന്നിരുന്ന ഒരു ഡിപ്പാർട്ടുമെൻറിനെ മൂന്നുപേക്കായി വീതിച്ചുകൊടുക്കുകയും മൂന്നും നാലും വകുപ്പുകാരാൾ ഒരാളിൻറെ ഭരണത്തിൽ വയ്ക്കുകയും ചെയ്യുന്ന നയം ഒരിക്കലും ശരിയല്ല എന്നു മാത്രം പറഞ്ഞുകൊണ്ടു വിരമിച്ചുകൊള്ളുന്നു.

MRS. ANNA CHANDI (*Nominated*): Sir, I support the motion brought forward by Mr. Mahomed, and I do not think there is any necessity for me to proclaim why I support it.

DEPUTY PRESIDENT: To urge the rights of women, women's claims.

MRS. ANNA CHANDI (*Nominated*): Yes.

Sir, the claims of the depressed classes have been frequently represented by Mr. John Joseph, and those of the unrepresented communities have been represented with great vehemence by Mr. Kunjitomman and Mr. Mahomed. I think it would be unfair if the claims of women are left unheeded for want of representation.

My present difficulty in the matter, Sir, is that, in the lists, showing the number of appointments held by members of unrepresented communities, which have now been placed before the House, women have been excluded. I must submit that in the matter of appointments women should be considered as an unrepresented community I think I should have followed the policy adopted by Mr. Mahomed

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

[Mr. Krishna Pillai.]

him only a charge allowance—"*Ch. A*," I find it printed here; I think it means charge allowance—of Rs. 45 per mensem.

It would appear, Sir, that, since 16-9-1106, the date on which the post of the Director of Registration fell vacant, several other vacancies have occurred and have been duly filled up. Very recently, the place of the Anchal Superintendent fell vacant. (I believe it is not more than a month since the vacancy occurred.) The next day after the vacancy occurred, I read in one of the dailies, which we get here in plenty now-a-days, that one Mr. Joseph, if I remember the name aright, was appointed, and has even been confirmed in the post. The vacancy created by that retirement has been filled up permanently, and it would also appear that, in this hurry, as one could see from the list, various appointments that were in arrears have all been filled up and several persons who were holding acting appointments have been made permanent. I do not understand why the Government thought that it is necessary to choose the place of the Director of Registration alone to remain vacant and put somebody in "*independent charge*".

MR. A. THANU PILLAI (*Trivandrum*): Is the member aware that Mr. G. Padmanabha Pillai served the Registration Department for many years from the lowest grade of a clerks' post up to that of the Director of Registration and has only been given *independent charge* while Mr. Joseph who had nothing to do with the Anchal Department has been made permanent?

MR. S. KRISHNA PILLAI (*Karunagapally*) ; I am quite new to this kind of work. Those who are in the department for a very long time must be able to understand it. I understand that Mr. Padmanabha Pillai was in charge of the department for a number of years.

That an important department, a department in which so much money is spent for its guidance, should be left without any body as the Director is a matter which we are unable to diagnose.

MR. A. S. DAMODARAN ASAN (*Mavelikara* cum *Kartikapalli*): What is meant by *independent charge*?

MR. S. KRISHNA PILLAI (*Karunagapalli*): I am unable to understand what it is. He is neither the District Registrar nor the Director of Registration. I think he is responsible to all people in the world. If this is to be the case, my friends Messrs. P. S. Mahomed, Joseph and Kunjitomman and others will be justified in raising all sorts of complaints. Apart from that, I believe, it is injustice that is being meted out to this department. This is the one department of all departments in Travancore which remains without somebody at its head, with somebody only in independent charge. I believe that Government will soon rectify this mistake and will put it on the same footing or status as other departments, and that this injustice will soon be removed.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*): Sir, several matters came under discussion in the course of this debate. Mr. P. S. Mahomed and Mr. Kunjitomman referred to communal representation in public service and inadequacy of appointments to certain communities in particular departments. I do not propose, Sir to deal with that subject at present. The Dewan-President has already announced that a committee will shortly be appointed to look into the whole question of recruitment to public service. So, I do not think there is anything to be gained by my discussing the subject.

Another point was raised in that connection by Mrs. Chandi, *viz*, about the employment of women. As regards that, it is better for us to leave that also to be considered and the necessary recommendations made by the committee that is going to be appointed. Her request that a woman also should be appointed in that committee as a member will be duly considered.

Then, Sir, it was Mr. Asan, I believe, who spoke about the need for the appointment of a full-time Registrar of Joint Stock Companies. I am aware of the recommendations made by the Banking Enquiry Committee. In their report they advocate the appointment of a full-time Registrar. It has so far, during all these years, been a part-time appointment. I do not remember any time when it was a full-time appointment. The recommendations in the Banking Enquring Committee Report number about 150. We have distributed the various recommendations of the committee to the several branches of the Secretariat and they are being dealt with. One cause of delay, I may mention, is that we have also to wait and see what the Central Banking Enquiry Committee is going to recommend. Their Report is not yet out. It will be wise on our part to delay our action and see what the Central Committee, constituted by the Government of India, is going to do.

MR. A. S. DAMODARAN ASAN (*Mavelikara* cum *Kartikapalli*): Have the Government any definite policy in giving these allowances to any particular officer?

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*): The work that is connected with the appointment is not sufficient to engage the work of a full-time officer. After all if you go through the Company's Regulation you will find that the Registrar has not the power of auditing and checking the accounts of companies. He can only to visit the institutions and see that the provisions of the Regulation are being carried out.

MR. A. S. DAMODARAN ASAN (*Mavelikara* cum *Kartikapalli*): Do the Government expect the holder of this office to have any special qualification?

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*): Just as we want the Co-operative Registrar to know the provisions of the Co-operative Regulation and the principles underlying co-operation, similarly the Registrar of Joint Stock Companies must know the Company's Regulation.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

MR. A. S. DAMODARAN ASAN (*Mavelikara* cum *Kartikapalli*): My question is not that. I want to know whether in making appointments to these places Government consider the special qualifications of the persons chosen for the same?

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*): It is considered whether the person who is to be appointed has the qualification to discharge the duties pertaining to the appointment.

MR. A. S. DAMODARAN ASAN (*Mavelikara* cum *Kartikapalli*): Was not this office held by the Director of Registration previously?

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*): Yes.

MR. A. S. DAMODARAN ASAN (*Mavelikara* cum *Kartikapalli*): Was not the Director of Registration available for this appointment also?

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*): I am not discussing that point.

MR. A. S. DAMODARAN ASAN (*Mavelikara* cum *Kartikapalli*): May I know the particular reason for changing this appointment from the Director of Registration to the Registrar of Co-operative Societies?

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*): It is an independent appointment to which no officer has any special claim.

MR. A. S. DAMODARAN ASAN (*Mavelikara* cum *Kartikapalli*): So, is it an office which can be held by anybody?

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*): Anybody competent. He may be the Registrar of Co-operative Societies or the Head Sirkar Vakil or the Director of Registration. You will find from the Appendix to the T. S. R. that it is an independent office which is paid for by an allowance.

MR. A. S. DAMODARAN ASAN (*Mavelikara* cum *Kartikapalli*): I want to know whether Government consider any qualifications to be necessary for this appointment?

DEPUTY PRESIDENT: He said it is necessary. Government were satisfied that the man had sufficient qualification.

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*): If the Director of Registration can do it, the Co-operative Registrar can also do it.

MR. K. P. MADHAVAN PILLAI (*Chirayinkil*): Is it under any principle that this allowance was shifted from one officer to another?

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*): Nobody has got any vested interest in it. It was sometimes held by the Head Sirkar Vakil and at other times by the Director of Registration.

MR. K. P. MADHAVAN PILLAI (*Chirayinkil*): Is it not better that it is given to the successor of the Director of Registration?

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*): It is purely a matter for Government to decide.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

Mr. K. Kunjukrishna Pillai spoke about the diametrically opposing principles of Co-operation and those underlying the Companies' Regulation. I do not concede so much as that. That there is a difference I admit. But that fact does not constitute any difficulty. We find similar instances of people holding such part-time appointments. A Professor is holding charge of the Astronomical Section of the Observatory and another is holding charge of the Meteorological Section. That does not mean that these officers are not able to carry on the work of their full-time appointment. It is only an additional work. So far as the Registrar of Joint Stock Companies is concerned, I have already explained that his duties are not very onerous.

MR. K. KUNJUKRISHNA PILLAI (*Quilon*): Has the Registrar of Co-operative Societies ever informed Government that he does not find time for his own touring work?

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*): His touring work will not at all be added to because he is the Registrar of Joint Stock Companies, because many of the Companies, are in central places such as towns, and while he inspects Co-operative Societies which are in rural areas he can easily visit the offices of banks and Company's offices. It will not add very much to his work; it will not interfere with his work as the Registrar of Co-operative Societies.

One suggestion came from Mr. Gopalakrishna Pillai, and that was that chitties should be stopped until the economic depression is over. Sir, there are conflicting opinions about that. It may be in the interest of the foremen of the chitties, but it will not be in the interest of the people who have subscribed to these chitties and who are waiting to get their money to meet some emergency. I doubt very much whether if we take the plebiscite in the country, many people will accept the opinion of Mr. Gopalakrishna Pillai.

MR. S. KRISHNA PILLAI (*Karunagapalli*): Have Government power to stop such payments?

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*): Except by a proclamation or some such legal enactment we cannot establish a moratorium. The credit of a country is a very delicate instrument and you cannot interfere with it without causing the whole fabric tumble down.

MR. K. GOPALAKRISHNA PILLAI (*Parur*): Does not the member think that some relief is necessary to the people?

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*): Yes some relief is necessary, especially to the foremen. Similarly other people also stand in need of relief.

MR. K. GOPALAKRISHNA PILLAI (*Parur*): Has the Chief Secretary thought out any means of giving relief to the people?

MR K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*): They must find some other means of relief, such as taking loans from banks, if possible.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

MR. E. V. KRISHNA PILLAI (*Kottarakara* cum *Kunnattur*): Cannot people join together and postpone operation of the chitty saying that the chitty has been postponed?

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*): All the subscribers should join together.

MR. S. KRISHNA PILLAI (*Karunagapalli*): It is not necessary that all subscribers should join. The subscribers need not agree, the foreman can postpone.

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*): I do not think he can.

MR. S. KRISHNA PILLAI (*Karunagapalli*): He can produce a minute saying that the majority of the subscribers have consented.

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*): That is an agreement.

MR. S. KRISHNA PILLAI (*Karunagapalli*): That does not mean that all the subscribers should consent.

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*): Not all, at least the majority should consent.

MR. S. KRISHNA PILLAI (*Karunagapalli*): What I am stating is that it is not necessary that all the subscribers should consent. Not even the majority of the subscribers should consent. It is enough for the foreman to produce copy of a minute which says that the majority have consented. Of course the District Registrar will give no relief to the poor people. As it is, only the Government have the power to change the Regulation, and until that is done the condition of the ryots will be helpless.

DEPUTY PRESIDENT: You stood up with a question, but you are now making a speech.

MR. S. KRISHNA PILLAI (*Karunagapalli*): I wanted to put a question but in the meantime another question had to be put.

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*): Sir, I admit the Chitties Regulation is in need of amendment. The question is engaging the attention of the legal officers of Government.

Now, I shall refer to the last question, and that is the question of *independent charge* by Mr. G. Padmanabha Pillai. There is a rule in the T. S. R which explains what is meant by *independent charge*. I think it is article 76. It says that an officer may be appointed in *independent charge* of the current duties of an office without retaining charge of his own duties. Then his allowance will be three-fourths of the acting allowance. Such appointments are not rare. I can quote my own instance. I was in *independent charge* of the Account Office when the latelamented Mr. Narayana Pillai died.

MR. S. KRISHNA PILLAI (*Karunagapalli*): For how many months?

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*): I think it was for some months. I am not sure.

MR. S. KRISHNA PILLAI (*Karunagapalli*): Does not the T. S. R. fix any time-limit?

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*): No. It is left to the discretion of the appointing authorities. I was like that for some time until I was confirmed later on. What I mean to say is that—

MR. S. KRISHNA PILLAI (*Karunagapalli*): Does it mean that the justice meted out to him should be the justice meted out to others also?

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*): I do not say that. There are cases in which Government make such appointments for various reasons. One reason may be that when such appointments are made, Government might not have made up their minds as to the persons who should be appointed permanently. Another reason may be that they want to know the competenancy of the officer. There may be other reasons as well.

MR. S. KRISHNA PILLAI (*Karunagapalli*): What was the principle upon which Mr. Joseph was put in charge of the Anchal Superintendent's post?

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*): I am not speaking of that appointment now.

MR. S. KRISHNA PILLAI (*Karunagapalli*): I want to ask whether there was any principle on which the appointment of Mr. Joseph was made. Was that gentleman tried in that department at least for a day before he was confirmed in that post?

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*): Sir, I said that one reason may be that the Government had not made up their minds about that officer.

MR. S. KRISHNA PILLAI (*Karunagapalli*): Is there any particular rule as to how Government make up their minds in the case of one officer and in a different way in the case of another?

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*): It is a matter, which is left to the full discretion of the appointing authorities.

An officer who is holding independent charge will have to discharge all the duties of the post, but his responsibilities are presumed to be somewhat less than those of a permanent officer. He can carry on the administration of that department. Except, that he cannot initiate large schemes of policy as he can do when he is in full responsible position.

MR. A. THANU PILLAI (*Trivandrum*): May I know the difference between "*independent chvre*" and "*acting*"?

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*): The member has asked this as an interpellation and I passed the answer to that to-day. A permanent man has a full lien on the post and no body else has a lien on that. When an officer is appointed to act he has not got the full lien on the appointment as the permanent officer has, and his responsibilities in the appointment are naturally somewhat less than those of the permanent incumbent. Such an officer gets an acting allowance of one fifth of the pay of the appointment.

Then, there is another class viz., *sub. pro tem.* There, the whole pay is available. The permanent man may be on foreign service or on extraordinary leave or on deputation for not less than six months. In

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

[Mr. K. George.]

such a case, the substitute may be appointed *sub. pro tem.* But so far as his status is concerned he has only the status of an acting officer.

Then comes *independent charge.* Such an officer gets, three fourths of the acting allowance otherwise admissable. He is only in charge of the current duties and his duties and responsibilities are considered to be less than those of an acting or *sub. pro tem* officer. But in both the cases Government are competent to revert the officers or revise the arrangements.

MR. K. P. MADHAVAN PILLAI (*Chirayinkil*) : Is there any difference in allowance ?

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*) ; Yes.

MR. A. THANU PILLAI (*Trivandrum*) ; Can a *sub. pro tem* officer also be reverted ?

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*): Certainly ?

MR. A. THANU PILLAI (*Trivandrum*): Is it the rule that an officer can be made acting even when there is a permanent vacancy ?

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*) : There is no objection to that.

There is one other kind of appointment and that is additional charge. There, it is clear that the arrangement is purely temporary ?

MR. A. THANU PILLAI (*Trivandrum*) : In regard to this.....

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*) : May I finish my sentence. When a man is in additional charge he will run the routine duties, and for that the allowance is less.

MR. S. KRISHNA PILLAI (*Karunagapalli*) : Is he not responsible for the money that is collected ?

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*) : Every officer who collects money is responsible for it.

MR. S. KRISHNA PILLAI (*Karunagapalli*): In what respect does the member say that the man in *independent charge* is not responsible ?

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*) ; I did not say that he has no responsibility.

MR. S. KRISHNA PILLAI (*Karunagapalli*) : Did not the member say that he has not got such responsibility as an acting hand ?

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*) : I am now speaking of the man in *additional* charge.

MR. S. KRISHNA PILLAI (*Karunagapalli*) : Is he not responsible for every thing that is taking place in the office ?

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*) : Yes, he is fully responsible for everything taking place in this office.

The T. S. R. makes a difference between those who are *acting* and those who are in *independent charge.* The duties and responsibilities are supposed to be slightly different. The additional charge is a purely temporary arrangement and the man has to run only the routine duties, and his allowance is only one-tenth.

MR. A. THANU PILLAI (*Trivandrum*) : With reference to this, what is the difference between an officer in *independent charge* and an *acting* officer ?

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

DEPUTY PRESIDENT : He told us that.

MR. A. THANU PILLAI (*Trivandrum*) : I want to know what difference is there with reference to this particular department ? What are the functions and what is the scope of work of such an officer ?

DEPUTY PRESIDENT : The whole thing is given in the T. S. R.

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*) : I have given only a general explanation.

MR. S. KRISHNA PILLAI (*Karunagapalli*) : Is there not a difference of pay or allowance that is given to these two classes of people and the powers and privileges attached to the appointmets ?

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*) : So far as the responsibilities are concerned the very fact that the T. S. R. makes a difference is a clear indication that the responsibility is somewhat less.

DEPUTY PRESIDENT : So far as statutory responsibility goes there cannot be any difference, but if administrative duties are taken into account there may be difference.

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*) : Government do not expect every officer holding independent charge—

MR. S. KRISHNA PILLAI (*Karunagapalli*) : The allowance is responsible.

DEPUTY PRESIDENT : That is no question.

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*) : Sir, I have finished my speech. There was nothing else that was raised in this debate.

MR. A. THANU PILLAI (*Trivandrum*) : Sir, now that the question of the appointment of the Director of Registration has been the subject of discussion here, I wish to say a word about it. I may make it clear at the outset that I am not at all concerned with the particular officer who is now in independent charge, so to say, of this department, nor of the treatment accorded to him. I wish to make one point clear, namely, that even as a matter of policy there should be something that can be generally appreciated and approved of in what Government does in the matter of making appointments, especially when the appointment is one that carries with it great responsibilities as that of the Director of Registration. The office is surely an onerous one. It deals with a volume of work which is considerable and the scope of it is so large that it affects the people generally. Now Sir, in selecting an officer to be the head of a department like this, what are the considerations that weigh with the Government ?

The Chief Secretary told us that in the case of this particular officer,—I understood him to have stated so—Government have not made up their mind whether he is fit to be confirmed in that office or not. Sir, I put this question to the Government benches and I hope that they will answer it. The present incumbent has been serving this Department for, if I am correct, over 20 years. It may even be that his whole service was in that Department. He entered service as a clerk in the Registration Department. He was raised

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

[Mr. A. Thanu Pillai.]

from that Office as Sub-Registrar, and then he was an Assistant to the Director of Registration in his office for some time. Then, he became a District Registrar. Subsequently he was the senior District Registrar for many years, and when the time came he has now been put as the Head of the Department. Now, Sir, I put this question to the Government Benches: Are they not or are they in a position to judge whether this particular officer will be able to carry on the duties attached to the Office of the Head of the Department? Are they in a position to decide that or not? Or do they stand in need of testing that officer to see whether he is fit to be the Head of the Department?

MR. N. K. KRISHNA PILLAI (*Neyyattinkara*): He has put in 25 years' service.

MR. A. THANU PILLAI (*Trivandrum*): I said 20. It may be 25.

DEPUTY PRESIDENT: They are not bound to take you into the history of the individual.

MR. A. THANU PILLAI (*Trivandrum*): I fully concede that. Have they any idea of the capacity and ability of the officers who serve under them? He has served for 25 years, and he has risen from the lowest rank to the highest. Have the Government any doubt about his capacity? If they have any doubt they ought not to have promoted him.

DEPUTY PRESIDENT: Apart from the question of his fitness, Mr. George referred to another circumstance. Government have not yet made up their mind whether this individual should be appointed Director or whether there are others who ought to be appointed. That is one of the cases in which this independent charge business comes in. The other reason is that they want to try and satisfy themselves that the man is fit for the post. You have met the latter part of the argument by saying that the man has been in the Department for 25 years and is thoroughly fit to be the Head of the department. There is another aspect of the question and that is that the Government have not made up their mind as to whether this officer should be appointed as the Head of the Department.

MR. A. THANU PILLAI (*Trivandrum*): Sir, now that you have put it like that———

DEPUTY PRESIDENT: I was merely repeating what Mr. George stated. It is not *my* statement.

MR. A. THANU PILLAI (*Trivandrum*): I take it that you have put it correctly. Government take unduly long time to make up their mind. That is my complaint. Why do they take so much time to make up their mind? Government ought not to have such hesitation; they ought not to display such dilatoriness, and indecisiveness. They ought to have a mind that is easily made up. Officers especially those at the helm of affairs should be in a position to advise Government to make up their mind easily. This hesitation and dilatoriness should not be there. I am very thankful to you, Sir, for reminding me of the exact point that I should dilate upon. When are they going to make up their mind?

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

This is not the first time that they are not able to make up their mind. In an answer given to me to-day I got a list which will show that the Government were acting as a chitty foreman. Government had been retaining in their hands moneys that ought to have been disbursed to officers—about 33 in number—during a period of half a dozen years. This year when we hear so much of the economic depression they have given about Rs. 2,000 to each of them. At this time of great economic depression they have given Rs. 38,000. The Officer put in *independent charge* of the Registration Department has considerable experience of the work of that Department. He has, as it were, been brought up in that Department, but the officer who has been made all at once permanent Head of the Anchal Department had before that nothing to do with that Department except that he occasionally received letters through Anchal.

DEPUTY PRESIDENT: You can deal with the Anchal Department when the demand for it comes in.

MR. A. THANU PILLAI (*Trivandrum*): I gave it merely for comparison. I am led to think that Government are led by personal considerations in these matters. That is the only conclusion. These things can only lead to that conclusion so far as a reasonable man is concerned. Government ought to look to the efficiency of the Department and to the administrative interests of the people concerned. They ought to rise above personalities and personal considerations. With these words, Sir, I resume my seat.

MR. P. S. MAHOMED (*Nominated*): സർ, രജിസ്ട്രേഷൻ ഡയറക്ടരെ സ്ഥിരമായി നിയമിക്കാത്ത സംഗതിയെപ്പറ്റി മി. താണുപിള്ള ശക്തിയായി പ്രസംഗിക്കയുണ്ടായി. ഒരു കാരണം നോക്കുമ്പോഴും അങ്ങിനെ സ്ഥിരപ്പെടുത്താത്തതിൽ കുറെ ആശ്വാസമുണ്ട്. ഇതു ഒരു ഉത്തരവാദിത്വമുള്ള സ്ഥാനമാണെന്നു മി. താണുപിള്ള പറഞ്ഞിരിക്കുന്ന സ്ഥിതിക്കു ഗവണ്മെന്റു നല്ലവണ്ണം ആലോചിച്ചു അസമത്വസമുദായക്കാരെ ധ്വംസിക്കാത്ത ഒരാളിനെ നോക്കി ഇതിലേക്കു നിയമിക്കേണ്ടതാണു്.

MR. K. KUNJUKRISHNA PILLAI (*Quilon*): ഇപ്പോൾ ഇൻഡിപ്പെൻഡൻറു ചാർജ്ജു വഹിക്കുന്നയാൾ അസമത്വസമുദായക്കാരെ ധ്വംസിക്കുന്നയാളാണു് എന്നുള്ളതിനു തെളിവു വല്ലതുമുണ്ടോ ?

MR. P. S. MAHOMED (*Nominated*): അദ്ദേഹം അങ്ങിനെ ചെയ്യുന്ന ഒരാളാണു് എന്നു ഞാൻ പറഞ്ഞുവോ. രജിസ്ട്രേഷൻ ഡയറക്ടർ ഉദ്യോഗത്തിനു നിയമിക്കുന്നയാൾ അസമത്വസമുദായത്തെ ധ്വംസിക്കാത്ത ഒരാളായിരിക്കണമെന്നല്ലാതെ ഇപ്പോൾ ചാർജ്ജു വഹിക്കുന്നയാൾ അങ്ങിനെ ധ്വംസിക്കുന്ന ഒരാളാണെന്നു ഞാൻ പറഞ്ഞിട്ടില്ല.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

MR. K. KUNJUKRISHNA PILLAI (*Quilon*): ഈ നിയമനം നീക്കിവയ്ക്കണം എന്നു പറഞ്ഞതിൽ അടങ്ങിയിട്ടുള്ള ധ്വനി എന്താണ്?

MR. P. S. MAHOMED (*Nominated*): നീക്കിവയ്ക്കണമെന്നും ഞാൻ പറഞ്ഞില്ല, ഇപ്പോൾ നിയമിക്കാതെ വച്ചിരിക്കുന്നതു നന്നായി, അതിനെപ്പറ്റി ആലോചിച്ചു ഗവണ്മെൻറു ചെയ്യേണ്ടതാണ് എന്നു മാത്രമേ ഞാൻ പറഞ്ഞൊള്ളൂ.

MR. K. KUNJUKRISHNA PILLAI (*Quilon*): അഞ്ചൽ സൂപ്രണ്ടിനെ നിയമിക്കുന്ന കാര്യത്തെപ്പറ്റി ആലോചന നടത്തിയോ ?

MR. P. S. MAHOMED (*Nominated*): അഞ്ചൽ ഡിപ്പാർട്ടുമെൻറു ആലോചനയ്ക്കു വരുമ്പോൾ അതിനെപ്പറ്റി എനിക്കു പറയാനുള്ളതു പറയാം.

MR. TARIATU KUNJITOMMAN (*Commerce and Industry, North*): അഞ്ചൽ സൂപ്രണ്ടിനെ നിയമിച്ചതു ആലോചിക്കാതെയാണോ ?

MR. P. S. MAHOMED (*Nominated*): ഞാൻ അതിനെ കുറിച്ചൊന്നും പറയുന്നില്ല.

സർ, സമുദായ പ്രാതിനിധ്യത്തെപ്പറ്റി ഇവിടെ പലരും പലതും പറഞ്ഞു. ഗവണ്മെൻറു മെമ്പർ ഇതിലേക്കു ഒരു കമ്മിറ്റി ഏർപ്പെടുത്താൻ പോകുന്നുണ്ടെന്നും ആ കമ്മിറ്റിയിൽ വേണ്ട വിധത്തിൽ ആലോചിച്ചു തീരുമാനിക്കാമെന്നും പറഞ്ഞു. അതേ സമയത്തു മുസ്സസ് അന്നാചാണ്ടി സ്ത്രീകളുടെ പ്രാതിനിധ്യത്തെ സംബന്ധിച്ചുവളരെ ശക്തിയായി വാദിക്കയുണ്ടായി. ഞാൻ അസമത്വസമുദായത്തെപ്പറ്റിപറഞ്ഞതുകൂട്ടത്തിൽ സ്ത്രീകളുടെ കാര്യം അശേഷം വിസ്മരിച്ചിട്ടില്ല എന്നു ഒന്നുകൂടി അറിയിച്ചുകൊള്ളുന്നു. അവരും സമുദായത്തിൽ ഉൾപ്പെട്ടുമെന്നാണ് എൻറെ വിശ്വാസം. സമുദായത്തിൽനിന്നു മാറിനിൽക്കാൻ അവർക്കു സാധിക്കയുമില്ല, അങ്ങിനെ മാറി നിന്നാൽ ബാക്കിയുള്ളവർ സമ്മതിക്കയുമില്ല.

MR. A. THANU PILLAI (*Trivandrum*): സ്ത്രീകളെ പ്രത്യേകം ഒരുജാതിയാക്കുന്നതു മെമ്പർക്കു സമ്മതമാണോ?

M P. S. MAHOMED (*Nominated*): സ്ത്രീപുരുഷന്മാരെ രണ്ടു ജാതിയായിട്ടു തിരിച്ചാലും അവർക്കു സമുദായത്തിൽനിന്നു മാറിനിൽക്കാൻസാധിക്കയില്ല.

MRS. ANNA CHANDI (*Nominated*): ജാതി വ്യത്യാസം എന്നെന്നേയ്ക്കും നിലനിർത്തിക്കൊണ്ടു പോകണമെന്നുള്ള ഉദ്ദേശം കൊണ്ടാണോമെമ്പർ സമുദായപ്രാതിനിധ്യം വേണമെന്നു പറയുന്നതു് ?

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

MR, P. S. MAHOMED *(Nominated)*: ജാതിവ്യത്യാസം എത്ര നിഷ്പ്രയോജനമായിട്ടുള്ളതാണെന്നുള്ളതിനെപ്പറ്റി ഇവിടെ നിന്നു മാറുമോ അതുകൊണ്ടു എനിക്കു സന്തോഷമാണു. ഇങ്ങനെ കുറെ കാലം കഴിയുമ്പോൾ ഈ വ്യത്യാസമെല്ലാം നിന്നുപോകുമെന്നാണു് എനിക്കു തോന്നുന്നതു്. ഈ വ്യത്യാസം തീർക്കാനാണു ശ്രമം. അതു ഏകദേശം തൃപ്തികരമായ ഒരു സ്ഥാനത്തുവരുമ്പോൾ സ്ത്രീയും പുരുഷനും സമുദായവും എല്ലാം ഒന്നാകും.

DEPUTY PRESIDENT: Do you want to get rid of the distinction between men and women. That distinction must be perpetuated, I suppose?

MR. A. S. DAMODARAN ASAN *(Mavelikara cum Kartikapalli)*: സ്ത്രീകൾ തന്നെ ജാതിവ്യത്യാസം വേണ്ട എന്നു പറയുമ്പോൾ പുരുഷന്മാർ അതിലേക്കുവേണ്ടി വാദിക്കുന്നതു പോരായ്മയല്ലേ?

MR. P. S. MAHOMED *(Nominated)*: അങ്ങിനെ അവർ പറഞ്ഞിട്ടില്ല, പറയുകയുമില്ല. അഥവാ അങ്ങിനെ വല്ല സ്ത്രീകളും പറയുന്നുണ്ടെങ്കിൽ അവരെ ഇന്ന ചില സമുദായക്കാർ വർഗ്ഗീയപ്രാതിനിധ്യം വേണ്ട എന്നു പറയുന്നവരുടെ സ്ഥാനത്തേ ഞാൻ വിചാരിക്കുന്നുള്ളൂ.

MR. TARIATU KUNJITOMMAN *(Commerce and Industry, North)*: ഉദ്യോഗസ്ഥന്മാരുടെ ഉദ്യോഗനിയമനത്തിലും, ഈ കൌൺസിൽ തുടങ്ങിയുള്ള സ്ഥാപനങ്ങളിലും ആരെ തിരഞ്ഞെടുക്കുന്നതു് സ്വജാതിനോക്കിയാണെങ്കിൽ അതു ഉദ്യോഗനിയമനവിഷയത്തിൽ ശരിയാക്കിയില്ലെങ്കിൽ ന്യൂനത ഉണ്ടാവുകയില്ലേ?

MR. P. S. MAHOMED *(Nominated)*: ജാതിവ്യത്യാസം എത്രയും വേഗം പോകുമോ അത്രയും നല്ലതു തന്നെ. എന്നാൽ ഇന്നത്തെ സ്ഥിതിക്കു മറെറല്ലാസ്ഥലങ്ങളിലും അതു കാണുന്നതു കൊണ്ടും ഉദ്യോഗവിതരണത്തിൽ ജാതി പരിഗണിച്ചു വരുന്നതു കൊണ്ടും ആ നിലയ്ക്കു മുന്നോട്ടു പോകയല്ലാതെ മറെറാരു മാർഗ്ഗത്തിൽക്കൂടി പോകുന്നതു പ്രയോജനമാണെന്നാണു് എന്റെ അഭിപ്രായം.

MR. K. GEORGE *(Chief Secretary to Government)*: Sir, I have not much to add to what I said on the previous occasion. But Thanu Pillai misunderstood me when he said that Government nted the present officer in independent charge of the duties of the tor to test his fitness. I did not say so; and the President correctm. I have nothing more to add on that point.

owever, I want to add one word in reply to what Mr. Thanu Pillai as one sed in the midst of his speech. That is about the interpell ple were fit reach answer was furnished to him this morning, and in ad to see who fore was not here to put any supplementary questio wer

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

[Mr. K. George.]

' that he was not treating the Government quite fairly. He did not .ow whether there were sufficient reasons why the teachers had :en given those increments. Without asking supplementary question: nd without giving us a chance —

MR. A. THANU PILLAI (*Trivandrum*): I would be very glad if he gives his reasons now.

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*): My point is that without giving us a chance to give information on that, Mr. Thanu Pillai was not quite justified in referring to it as an instance of the Government's delay in making up their minds.

MR. A. THANU PILLAI (*Trivandrum*): I should like to know what the justification for that delay is?

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*): If he asks that question that will be answered.

MR. A. THANU PILLAI (*Trivandrum*): I am asking it now.

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*): What is the point on which the member wants an answer?

MR. A. THANU PILLAI (*Trivandrum*): Sir, the Chief Secretary now says that I am not fair to have made use of the answer. I relied upon that statement in making my statement. I should like to know why I am not fair?

DEPUTY PRESIDENT: The inference that you drew from it was not fair because you did not put supplementary questions asking the Government for their reasons.

MR. A. THANU PILLAI (*Trivandrum*): Sir, may I ask the Chief Secretary to tell me if I am not to draw the inference that I did from the statements supplied to me, if it is not the duty of the Chief Secretary to give further information. When he gave a statement I relied upon that?

DEPUTY PRESIDENT: I must differ from you in regard to the inference. In the matter of interpellations, you put specific questions and Government gave specific answers. Your suggestion is that from the answers given you will draw your own inferences. By all means do so. You go further and say that if you are to be satisfied absolutely they are to give you all reasons even when you do not call for them. That will be going beyond the strict scope of the answer furnished.

MR. A. THANU PILLAI (*Trivandrum*): He ought not to make statement against my remarks and then refrain from giving the answers.

DEPUTY PRESIDENT: If you are going to ask for the answer and he is inclined to answer he may do so.

MR. A. THANU PILLAI (*Trivandrum*): I want to know why the teachers who were confirmed recently were not conf

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

even though vacancies arose from the year 1098, during the period between 1-3-1098 and 23-5-1104 ?

DEPUTY PRESIDENT : Is that your question ?

MR. A. THANU PILLAI (*Trivandrum*): My question is why it was that the permanent vacancies of these 33 posts were not filled up till this year even though vacancies arose during the period from 1-3-1098 to 23-5-1104, if it was not due to the difficulty of the Government to make up their mind.

DEPUTY PRESIDENT : Is that part of your question in the interpellation ?

MR. A. THANU PILLAI (*Trivandrum*) : Not in the Interpellation. Now the observation of the Chief Secretary relates to my statement here. I wish to know what it is due to ?

DEPUTY PRESIDENT : I cannot allow the question with those words.

MR. A. THANU PILLAI (*Trivandrum*) : You may take the question without those words.

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*) : Sir, it is true a few vacancies arose in 1097 and others in subsequent years. The difficulty was this. A large number of appointments existed in the second grade in the English School branch of the department. The gradation list of that department was in a total mess. When certain promotions were recommended to the Government the Government then told the Director that it was not possible to sanction these promotions until the gradation list was rectified. For making the gradation list correct, first of all, we have had to settle the principles of gradation. That took some time. There were ever so many peculiar cases in the department. There were about 150 teachers in the second grade whose rank had to be settled. Very many peculiar cases came up for the consideration and decision of the Government. The principles had to be determined and that had to be done in consultation with the Account Officer. That took a long time. It affected the vital interest of a large number of teachers and the Government had to look into the matters carefully. After settling the principles, the Director was asked to make his recommendations guided by those principles. At that time Mr. Stephenson was the Director. He wanted to see the persons himself before he made his recommendations.

MR. TARIATU KUNJITOMMAN (*Commerce and Industry, North*) : ജനസംഖ്യയും വിദ്യാഭ്യാസവും —

DEPUTY PRESIDENT : ഇപ്പോൾ മി. താണുപിള്ളയുടെ ചോദ്യത്തിനു ഉത്തരം പറയുകയാണ്. അതു കഴിഞ്ഞിട്ടു ചോദിക്കാം. അതിലേക്കു അവസരം തരാം.

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*) : That was one reason for the delay. He wanted to see whether the people were fit for the promotion. There were 33 vacancies and he had to see who were to be recommended.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

DEPUTY PRESIDENT: You say that the claims of 150 candidates had to be investigated ?

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*): Yes, Sir. For this purpose we had to consult the Account Officer. In the Account Office an index card was prepared for every one of them, and each one's history was recorded in it and his rank in relation to the rest had to be decided after comparing these cards. Ultimately when the principles were settled, the matter was easy. When the recommendations were received, the Account Officer was once again consulted and sanction was speedily given to the promotions.

MR. A. THANU PILLAI (*Trivandrum*): Sir, since the Chief Secretary made the statement that I was unfair, in fairness to me, I must say that the present explanation is not at all— —

DEPUTY PRESIDENT: That is a matter of opinion.

MR. A. THANU PILLAI (*Trivandrum*): Yes, Sir. He now says that the second grade teachers' list was in a complete mess, that the principles of the gradation had to settled, that there were peculiar cases to be dealt with, that the principles had to be settled after reference to the Account Officer, that the Director had to be asked to make his recommendation and that the Director wanted to see the teachers to know whether they could be promoted, the history of services had to be referred to, and that after all this was done, promotions had to be given.

DEPUTY PRESIDENT: What is the question that you want to put ?

MR. A. THANU PILLAI (*Trivandrum*): With reference to the facts now stated by the Chief Secretary, I ask whether it is not the duty of Government, at a time when a particular officer is appointed, to see that he is placed below so and so and above so and so; is it not the duty of the Director to see that the teachers serving in his department are fit for service; is it for the Government to wait for a large number of vacancies to arise over a period extending over five or six years and allow things to get into a mess and then to solve difficulties. If that is expected of this Government, am I not right in saying that the Dewan and the central executive and all of them taken together cannot make up their mind in time? The whole thing is in a mess. That is what the Chief Secretary says; and I am blamed of unfairness.

DEPUTY PRESIDENT: The Chief Secretary says that the thing was in a mess, but they did not want to continue it, and they rectified it.

MR. A. THANU PILLAI (*Trivandrum*): If I had heard this from the Chief Secretary in the morning, there would have been absolutely no difference in the statement that I have made now.

DEPUTY PRESIDENT: I accept your assurance, Mr. Thanu Pillai.

MR. A. THANU PILLAI (*Trivandrum*): I thank you, Sir.

MR. TARIATU KUNJITOMMAN (*Commerce and Industry, North*): ഓരോ സമുദായങ്ങളുടേയും ജനസംഖ്യ, വിദ്യാഭ്യാസയോഗ്യത എന്നിവയെ അനുസരിച്ചു കാര്യക്ഷമതയും ദോഷം വരാത്തവണ്ണം ഉദ്യോഗവിത

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

രണം നടത്തിവരുന്നു എന്നു ഗവണ്മെൻറു ഭാഗത്തു നിന്നും ചീഫ്സെക്രട്ടറി തന്നെ മറുപടി പറഞ്ഞിരിക്കെ അതിനു വിപരീതമായി ഉദ്യോഗനിയമനങ്ങൾ നടത്തുന്നതിനു കാരണമെന്താണു്?

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*): അങ്ങിനെ പറഞ്ഞിട്ടില്ല.

DEPUTY PRESIDENT: മി. കുഞ്ഞിത്തൊമ്മൻ, എവിടെയാണു് പറഞ്ഞിട്ടുള്ളതെന്നു ആ പുസ്തകം എടുത്തു വായിച്ചുണ്ടിക്കാണിക്കണം.

MR. TARIATU KUNJITOMMAN (*Commerce and Industry, North*): ചീഫ് സെക്രട്ടറി ഇതിനെ നിഷേധിക്കുന്നു. എന്നാൽ ഇന്ന വിധത്തിലാണു് പറഞ്ഞതു് എന്നു പറഞ്ഞാൽകൊള്ളാം.

DEPUTY PRESIDENT: എനിക്കതു നിശ്ചയമില്ല. "കഴിയുന്നിടത്തോളം" എന്നൊരു വാക്കുകൂടി ചേത്തിരുന്നാൽ ശരിയായിരിക്കുമെന്നു തോന്നുന്നു.

MR. TARIATU KUNJITOMMAN (*Commerce and Industry, North*): "കഴിയുന്നിടത്തോളം" എന്നുകൂടി ചേത്തുകൊള്ളാം. അങ്ങിനെ രജിസ്ട്രേഷൻ ഡിപ്പാർട്ടുമെൻറിൽ നിയമനം നടത്തിയിട്ടുണ്ടോ?

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*): എൻെറ കയ്യിൽ തന്നെ ഫ ൦ ഭ–ലേയും ഫ ൦ ന്യ–ലേയും ഒരു പട്ടികയുണ്ടു്. അതു നോക്കീട്ടു മെമ്പർ പറയുന്നതു പോലെ വലിയ തകരാറുകളൊന്നും കാണുന്നില്ല.

MR. TARIATU KUNJITOMMAN (*Commerce and Industry, North*): ഫ ൦ ർ–ലേയും ഫ ൦ ഭ–ലേയും ഫ ൦ ന്യ–ലേയും നിയമനത്തെ സംബന്ധിച്ചു് ഫ ൦ ന്യ മേടം ൨–ാംനു- ഒരു ചോദ്യത്തിനു തന്ന മറുപടിയിൽ ഇങ്ങനെ പറഞ്ഞിരിക്കുന്നു. അതെ ന്യ ൦ ൦-ൽ ചിലപ്പോനം നിയമനങ്ങൾ നടന്നിട്ടുള്ളതിൽ ൨ന്യനു നായന്മാരും ൫ബ്രാഹ്മണരും ൧൫ ഈഴവരും ഉണ്ടെന്നു കാണുന്നു. നായന്മാരോളം തന്നെ വരുന്ന ഈഴവർക്കു ൧൫ ഉദ്യോഗംമാത്രവും കഷ്ടിച്ചു അര ലക്ഷത്തോളം വരുന്ന ബ്രാഹ്മണർക്കു ൫൨ ഉദ്യോഗവും കൊടുത്തിട്ടുണ്ടു്. ഈ വലിയ അന്തരം വരുന്നതിനുള്ള കാരണമെന്താണു്?

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*): ഇതിൽമെമ്പർ ഒരു കാര്യം വിട്ടുപോയി. ഓരോ വർഗ്ഗങ്ങളിൽ നിന്നും ഉദ്യോഗത്തിനു എത്ര അപേക്ഷകന്മാരുണ്ടായിരുന്നു എന്നു മെമ്പർ പറഞ്ഞിട്ടില്ല. അതു നോക്കിയാൽ വളരെ കുറവായിട്ടേ ഉള്ളു എന്നു കാണാം.

MR. TARIATU KUNJITOMMAN (*Commerce and Industry, North*): അങ്ങിനെ കാണുകയില്ല. നിയമിച്ചിട്ടുള്ള എണ്ണത്തെക്കാൾ കൂടുതൽ

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

[Mr. Tariatu Kunjitomman.]

അപേക്ഷകന്മാർ ഉണ്ടായിരുന്നു. ഈഴവക്കും മഹമ്മദീയർക്കും എത്ര ഉദ്യോഗം കൊടുത്തുവോ അതിനെക്കാൾ കൂടുതൽ അപേക്ഷകർ രണ്ടു സമുദായത്തിൽ നിന്നും ഉണ്ടായിരുന്നു.

DEPUTY PRESIDENT: മി. കുഞ്ഞിത്തൊമ്മൻ, നിങ്ങൾ പ്രാഡച്ചുതി നത്തരം പറഞ്ഞുകഴിഞ്ഞു.

Do you press the motion Mr. Mahomed ?

MR. P. S. MAHOMED (*Nominated*): No.

MR. A. THANU PILLAI (*Trivandrum*): I oppose the withdrawal.

The question that leave be granted to Mr. P. S. Mahomed for withdrawing the motion was then put to the vote and carried. The motion was withdrawn.

MR. A. THANU PILLAI (*Trivandrum*): Am I to understand that Government members allow the withdrawal ?

DEPUTY PRESIDENT: They never object. It is in accordance with the fitness of things; they won't like to have a particular provision reduced by Re. 1. They won't like to face a censure.

MR. A. THANU PILLAI (*Trivandrum*): But they ought to be prepared for a censure.

DEPUTY PRESIDENT: They *are* prepared.

Motion 311.

DEPUTY PRESIDENT: The next motion is identical to this.

MR. K. KRISHNAN NAYAR (*Pattanamtitta*): Sir, I want to speak on the motion.

DEPUTY PRESIDENT: You ought to have spoken when the previous motion was being considered. I ruled that the two motions, being substantially identical, have to be taken together and that those who have sent the motions have to talk on that cut motion.

The following motion, being identical, was deemed to have been withdrawn.

MR. K. KRISHNAN NAYAR:

311. To reduce the total allotment of Rs. 3,10,738 under Demand IX by Re. 1.

The question that a sum of Rs. 3,10,738 be granted under Demand IX—Registration was then put and carried.

The grant was made.

The Council adjourned at 5-15 P. M. to meet again at 11-30 A. M. the next day.

P. N. KRISHNA PILLAI,
Secretary to the Legislative Council.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly